SJS 44 (Rev. 12/07)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM)

the civil docket sheet. (SEE I	NSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)							
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS TARRON HARRIS		DEFENDANTS NATIONAL REC	OVERY AGENCY					
(Firm Nam CRAIG THOR KIMMEL, K 30 EAST BUTLER PIKE, 1		NOTE: IN LAN	of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES D CONDEMNATION CASES, US INVOLVED.	59-5250-550 * 0				
(2 <u>15) 540-8888 EXT, 116</u> II. BASIS OF JURISI		III. CITIZENSHIP OF P	DINCIDAL DADTIES	V-V-CONTROL V-CONTROL CONTROL				
□ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)	(For Diversity Cases Only)	TF DEF 1 □ 1 Incorporated or Pr of Business In Thi	and One Box for Defendant) PTF DEF incipal Place				
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	zen of Another State					
# or		Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3	□ 6 □ 6				
IV. NATURE OF SUI	(Place an "X" in One Box Only)	FORENVENDO	I management with the second					
110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	Slander 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability Liability 270 University 190 University 1	620 Other Food & Drug 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 630 Liquor Laws 640 R.R. & Truck 650 Airline Regs. 660 Occupational Safety/Health 690 Other LABOR 710 Fair Labor Standards Act 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations 730 Labor/Mgmt. Reporting & Disclosure Act 740 Railway Labor Act 790 Other Labor Litigation 791 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act	322 Appeal 28 USC 158 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 425 USC 157 426 USC 157 427 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 427 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 428 USC 158	OTHER STATUTES 400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV 810 Selective Service 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange 875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts 892 Economic Stabilization Act 893 Environmental Matters 894 Energy Allocation Act 895 Freedom of Information Act 900Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes				
⊠ 1 Original □ 2 R	tate Court Appellate Court	Reopened anothe	ferred from					
VI. CAUSE OF ACTI	ON Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are 15 U.S.C. 1692k Brief description of cause: Fair Debt Collection Practices Act	e filing (Do not cite jurisdictions	al statutes unless diversity):					
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER F.R.C.P. 23	DEMAND \$	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND:	if demanded in complaint:				
VIII. RELATED CAS IF ANY	SE(S) (See instructions): JUDGE	/	DOCKET NUMBER					
DATE 05/11/2015	SIGNATURE OF ATT	FORNEY OF RECORD						
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	1	/						
RECEIPT # A	MOUNT APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JUI	OGE				

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

CIVIL ACTION

		:					
NATIONAL RECOVERY A	GENCY		NO.				
In accordance with the Civil plaintiff shall complete a Cas filing the complaint and serve side of this form.) In the edesignation, that defendant shall other part to which that defendant belief	se Management Tra e a copy on all defer vent that a defend hall, with its first a ties, a Case Manag	ack Designation adants. (See § 1 ant does not ag ppearance, substement Track D	n Form in all civing the second in the plan second in the plan second in the plan it to the clerk of the cler	il cases at the time et forth on the revi intiff regarding of court and serve	e of erse said		
SELECT ONE OF THE FO	DLLOWING CAS	E MANAGEM	ENT TRACKS	:			
(a) Habeas Corpus – Cases b	rought under 28 U	.S.C. § 2241 th	rough § 2255.		()		
b) Social Security – Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits.							
(c) Arbitration – Cases requi	c) Arbitration – Cases required to be designated for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2. (x)						
(d) Asbestos – Cases involvi exposure to asbestos.	ng claims for perso	onal injury or p	roperty damage		()		
(e) Special Management – Commonly referred to as the court. (See reverse si	complex and that r	need special or	intense managen	nent by al			
management cases.)					()		
(f) Standard Management – (Cases that do not f	all into any one	of the other trac	eks.	()		
05-11-15			Plaintiff,	Tarron Harr	cis		
Date	Attorney-at-l	aw/	Attorney				
(215) 540-8888	(877) 788-28	64	kimmel@cr	editlaw.com			
Telephone	FAX Numbe	r	E-Mail A	ddress			

(Civ. 660) 10/02

TARRON HARRIS

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to appropriate calendar.

Address of Plaintiff: 5305 Wyalusing Avenue, Philadelph	nia PA 19131				
2491 Paxton Street, Harrisburg, F	The state of the s				
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction:(Use Reverse Side For A	Additional Space)				
Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation a	and any publicly held corporation owning 10% or more of its stock?				
(Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a)					
Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities?	Yes□ No⊠				
RELATED CASE, IF ANY:					
Case Number:	Date Terminated:				
Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions:					
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one ye	ear previously terminated action in this court?				
	Yes□ No⊠				
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior action in this court?	suit pending or within one year previously terminated				
	Yes□ No⊠				
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier in					
terminated action in this court?	Yes□ No⊠				
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil righ	ts case filed by the same individual?				
	Yes□ No⊠				
CIVIL: (Place ✓ in ONE CATEGORY ONLY)					
A. Federal Question Cases:	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:				
1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts	1. □ Insurance Contract and Other Contracts				
2. □ FELA	2. □ Airplane Personal Injury				
3. □ Jones Act-Personal Injury	3. Assault, Defamation				
4. □ Antitrust	4. Marine Personal Injury				
5. □ Patent	5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury				
6. □ Labor-Management Relations	6. □ Other Personal Injury (Please specify)				
7. □ Civil Rights	7. Products Liability				
8. Habeas Corpus	8. Products Liability — Asbestos				
9. Securities Act(s) Cases	9. □ All other Diversity Cases				
10. □ Social Security Review Cases	(Please specify)				
11. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify) 15 U.S.C. § 1692	(Floase specify)				
ARBITRATION CERT	TEICATION				
I, Craig Thor Kimmel (Check Appropriate Co	ategory) fy:				
□ Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs; □ Relief other than monetary damages is sought.	belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of				
DATE: 05/11/15	57100				
Attorney-at-Law	Attorney I.D.#				
NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if the	ere has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.				
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or except as noted above.	within one year previously terminated action in this court				
DATE: 05/11/15	57100				
Attorney-at-Law CIV. 609 (5/2012)	Attorney I.D.#				

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 2 EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA 3 4 TARRON HARRIS, 5 Plaintiff 6 Case No.: V. 7 COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR 8 NATIONAL RECOVERY AGENCY. JURY TRIAL 9 Defendant (Unlawful Debt Collection Practices) 10 11 **COMPLAINT** 12 TARRON HARRIS ("Plaintiff"), by and through his attorneys, KIMMEL & 13 SILVERMAN, P.C., alleges the following against NATIONAL RECOVERY 14 AGENCY ("Defendant"): 15 16 INTRODUCTION 17 1. Plaintiff's Complaint is based on the Fair Debt Collection Practices 18 Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq. ("FDCPA"). 19 20 JURISDICTION AND VENUE 21 2. Jurisdiction of this court arises pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d). 22 which states that such actions may be brought and heard before "any appropriate 23 United States district court without regard to the amount in controversy," and 28 24 25 1

U.S.C.	§	1331	grants	this	court	original	jurisdiction	of a	11	civil	actions	arising
under t	he	laws (of the U	Jnite	d State	s.						

- 3. Defendant conducts business in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and as such, personal jurisdiction is established.
 - 4. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(1).

PARTIES

- 5. Plaintiff is a natural person residing in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19131.
- 6. Plaintiff is a "consumer" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. §1692a(3).
- 7. Defendant is a national debt collection company with its corporate headquarters located at 2491 Paxton Street, Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17111.
- 8. Defendant is a "debt collector" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6), and repeatedly contacted Plaintiff in an attempt to collect a debt.
- 9. Defendant acted through its agents, employees, officers, members, directors, heirs, successors, assigns, principals, trustees, sureties, subrogees, representatives, and insurers.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

10. At all pertinent times hereto, Defendant was hired to collect a consumer debt and attempted to collect that debt from Plaintiff.

- 11. Defendant collects, and attempts to collect, debts incurred, or alleged to have been incurred, for personal, family, or household purposes on behalf of creditors using the U.S. Mail, telephone and/or internet.
- 12. The debt Defendant was seeking to collect, a credit card, arose out of transactions that were primarily for personal, family, or household purposes.
- 13. Beginning in or around April 2014 and continuing through July 2014, Defendant repeatedly contacted Plaintiff on his home and cellular telephones seeking and demanding payment of an alleged debt.
- 14. Defendant contacted Plaintiff multiple times a week, in its attempts to collect an alleged debt.
- 15. For example, Defendant called Plaintiff on June 23, 2014, at 7:44 p.m.; June 24, 2014, at 2:41 p.m.; June 27, 2014, at 3:54 p.m.; June 30, 2014, at 3:43 p.m.; and July 2, 2014, at 4:48 p.m.
- 16. It was aggravating, annoying and harassing for Plaintiff to receive continuous collection calls on his home and cellular telephones.
- 17. In response to Defendant's collection calls, on at least one occasion, Plaintiff instructed Defendant to stop calling him.
- 18. Despite Plaintiff's clear request, Defendant continued to call Plaintiff on a continuous and repetitive basis.
 - 19. Defendant knew that Plaintiff did not want to be called on his home

and cellular telephones, but continued to do so, with the intent to annoy, abuse and harass Plaintiff, hoping that such continued calls would cause Plaintiff to make payment on the alleged debt.

- 20. Further, in response to Plaintiff's request that Defendant stop calling, Defendant threatened to "serve" Plaintiff with "legal papers."
- 21. Upon information and belief, Defendant did not intend to take legal action against Plaintiff, and did not have the legal authority to take legal action against Plaintiff.
- 22. Also, Defendant threatened to have Plaintiff "locked up" if he did not pay the debt.
- 23. Non-payment of a debt is not a criminal offense, and a person cannot be imprisoned for non-payment of a debt.
- 24. Defendant's threat to have Plaintiff locked up was false and made, upon information and belief, to scare and coerce Plaintiff into making payment on the alleged debt.
- 25. Further, when speaking to Plaintiff, Defendant used profanity and other abusive and/or demeaning language.
- 26. Finally, in its attempts to collect a debt, Defendant contacted Plaintiff at times when it was inconvenient for him to receive collection calls; specifically, Defendant called Plaintiff after 9:00 p.m. which is a *per se* inconvenient time to

receive collection calls.

27. Defendant called Plaintiff on July 14, 2014, at 10:23 p.m. See Exhibit A, Plaintiff's phone record.

DEFENDANT VIOLATED THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT

COUNT I

- 28. Defendant's conduct, as detailed in the preceding paragraphs, violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692c(a)(1).
 - a. A debt collector violates § 1692c(a)(1) of the FDCPA by communicating with a consumer in connection with the collection of any debt at any unusual time or place or a time or place known or which should be known to be inconvenient to the consumer. In absence of knowledge of circumstances to the contrary, a debt collector shall assume that the convenient time for communicating with a consumer is after 8:00 a.m. and before 9:00 p.m., local time at the consumer's location.
 - b. Here, Defendant violated § 1692c(a)(1) of the FDCPA when it called Plaintiff after 9:00 p.m.

COUNT II

29. Defendant's conduct, as detailed in the preceding paragraphs, violated 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692d and 1692d(2).

- a. A debt collector violates § 1692d of the FDCPA by engaging in conduct of the natural consequence of which is to harass, oppress, or abuse any person in connection with the collection of a debt.
- b. A debt collector violates § 1692d(2) of the FDCPA by using obscene or profane language or language the natural consequence of which is to abuse the hearer or reader.
- c. Here, Defendant violated §§ 1692d and 1692d(2) of the FDCPA by repeatedly contacting Plaintiff on his home and cellular telephones multiple times a week, and continuing to call Plaintiff after being told to stop calling him, as well as using profanity and other abusive language when speaking to Plaintiff.

COUNT III

- 30. Defendant's conduct, as detailed in the preceding paragraphs, violated 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692e, 1692e(4) and 1692e(5) of the FDCPA.
 - a. A debt collector violates § 1692e of the FDCPA by using any false, deceptive or misleading representations or means in connection with the collection of any debt.
 - b. A debt collector violates § 1692e(4) of the FDCPA by

representing or implicating that nonpayment of any debt wil
result in the arrest or imprisonment of any person or the seizure
garnishment, attachment, or sale of any property or wages o
any person unless such action is lawful and the debt collector o
creditor intends to take such action.

- c. A debt collector violates § 1692e(5) of the FDCPA by threatening to take any action that cannot legally be taken or that is not intended to be taken.
- d. Here, Defendant violated §§ 1692e, 1692e(4) and 1692e(5) of the FDCPA by falsely threatening Plaintiff that he would be "locked up" and "served with legal papers" as non-payment of a debt is not a crime and it did not intend to take legal action and did not have authority to take legal action against Plaintiff.

COUNT IV

- 31. Defendant's conduct, as detailed in the preceding paragraphs, violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692f of the FDCPA.
 - a. A debt collector violates § 1692f of the FDCPA by using unfair or unconscionable means to collect or attempt to collect any debt.
 - b. Here, Defendant violated § 1692f of the FDCPA engaging in

other unfair and unconscionable debt collection practices, including failing to update its records to cease collection calls to Plaintiff's home and cellular telephones after he told them to stop calling.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, TARRON HARRIS, respectfully prays for a judgment as follows:

- a. All actual damages suffered pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1692k(a)(1);
- b. Statutory damages of \$1,000.00 for the violation of the FDCPA pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1692k(a)(2)(A);
- c. All reasonable attorneys' fees, witness fees, court costs and other litigation costs incurred by Plaintiff pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1693k(a)(3); and
- d. Any other relief deemed appropriate by this Honorable Court.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Plaintiff, TARRON HARRIS, demands a jury trial in this case.

Date: May 11, 2015

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

By:

CRAIG THOR KIMMEL Attorney ID No. 57100

Kimmel & Silverman, P.C.

30 E. Butler Pike Ambler, PA 19002

Phone: (215) 540-8888 Fax: (877) 788-2864

Email: <u>kimmel@creditlaw.com</u>